



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,500	03/26/2004	Masakazu Nishida	9683/175	8158
7590 03/03/2009 Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione NBC Tower Suite 3600 P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610				
EXAMINER				
ROSE, KERRI M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2416				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/03/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/810,500

Applicant(s)

NISHIDA ET AL.

Examiner

KERRI M. ROSE

Art Unit

2416

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KERRI M. ROSE.

(3) _____.

(2) Karl Horlander (63,417).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 14 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Imamura et al. (US 6,453,369).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed that the identifier matching performed by Imamura does not appear to be "in response to a request of the first program to access the data associated with the second program..." as required by the claim. However, Examiner also stated a thorough re-reading of the applied portions of Imamura was required before she could conclude with certainty that Imamura does not teach all the claim limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kerri M. Rose/
Examiner, Art Unit 2416

/Aung S. Moel/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416